



Overview

- Most conventional general circulation models (GCMs) make the Boussinesq approximation, conserving volume instead of mass.
- "Is the Boussinesq approximation justified or even necessary? How do the errors incurred compare with those due to the hydrostatic approximation or errors associated with uncertainties in the physical parameterizations? See, for example, McDougall et al. (2002a).
- We developed a non-Boussinesq GCM by virtue of the isomorphism of the Boussinesq equations in height coordinates and non-Boussinesq equations in pressure coordinates (see Box 2) in the MIT GCM (Marshall et al., 1997a).
- We compare solutions of non-Boussinesq, Boussinesq, and quasi-hydrostatic models after 1000 years of integration (Boxes 3, 4, and 5).



Non-Boussinesq Model in Pressure Coordinates and Boussinesq Model in Height Coordinates: Exploiting the Isomorphism in the MITgcm

 \longleftrightarrow

height coordinates

pressure coordinates

dynamical equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla_{z} \left(\frac{p}{\rho_{0}} \right) - f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F} &= \frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} \longleftrightarrow \frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\nabla_{p}\Phi - f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}, \\ -g\rho &= \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\alpha, \\ \nabla_{z} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} &= 0 \longleftrightarrow 0 = \nabla_{p} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p}, \\ \frac{D\theta}{Dt} &= Q \longleftrightarrow \frac{D\theta}{Dt} = Q, \\ \frac{DS}{Dt} &= Q_{S} \longleftrightarrow \frac{DS}{Dt} = Q_{S}, \end{aligned}$$

with boundary conditions at the surface ($z = \eta$ and p = 0)

$$\frac{D\eta}{Dt} - (P - E) = w \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \omega = 0$$

with boundary conditions at the bottom $(z = -H(x, y) \text{ and } p = p_b(x, y))$

$$-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_z H = w \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \omega = \frac{\partial p_b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_p p_b - g\rho_{FW}(P - E)$$

with

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)_z + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_z + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{D}{Dt} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{D}{Dt} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)_p + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_p + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial p},$$
$$w = \frac{Dz}{Dt} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{Dp}{Dt} = \omega$$

(de Szoeke and Samelson, 2002, Marshall et al., Climate modeling exploiting atmosphere-ocean fluid isomorphisms, in preparation)

Assessing the Importance of Non-Boussinesq Effects in a Coarse Resolution Global Ocean Model.

Martin Losch, Alistair Adcroft, and Jean-Michel Campin

Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, MIT, Cambridge (email: mlosch@mit.edu)

Boussinesq Effects on the General Circulation: Sea Surface Height Variability



Figure 1: Top left: Difference of sea surface height variability (square root of the variance over 100 years in centimeters) between the Boussinesq and the non-Boussinesq model. Bottom left: change of sea surface height variability when some non-hydrostatic terms in the horizontal momentum equations and the hydrostatic equation have been included. In the terminology of Marshall et al. (1997b), this is a quasi-hydrostatic model. Above: change in sea surface height variability due to the use of a different implementation of the equation of state; Jackett and Mc-Dougall (1995) vs. McDougall et al. (2002b). Clearly, the different equation of state changes the sea surface height variability more than relaxing either the Boussinesq or the hydrostatic approximation.





Figure 3: Mass drift of the height coordinate model and vol-Figure 4: The difference in bottom pressure variability as ume drift of the pressure coordinate model, scaled to units a function of scale. Shown are the per-degree variances of centimeters. The Boussinesq models are volume but not $\sum_{m} |c_{nm}|^2$ of the spherical harmonic coefficients c_{nm} . mass conserving and therefore the global mean bottom pres-All approximations/errors give rise to differences in botsure drifts in time. The non-Boussinesq model in pressure tom pressure variability that exceed the estimated errors of a coordinates is mass conserving and recovers a global volgeoid derived from GRACE (Balmino et al., 1998) at large ume drift caused by steric effects. Clearly, the mass drift scales. But the hydrostatic approximation (QH), small difof the Boussinesq model can be transformed into a volume ferences in the equation of state (EOS), and numerical noise drift that is remarkably similar to that of the non-Boussinesq in the forcing fields (NOISE) seem to be more important than model. Boussinesq effects (NB), particularly at large scales.

6

- Two Caveats:

References

Balmino, G., Perosanz, F., Rummel, R., Sneeuw, N., Sünkel, H., and Woodworth, P. (1998). European views on dedicated gravity field missions: GRACE and GOCE. An Earth Sciences Division Consultation Document, ESA, ESD-MAG-REP-CON-001. de Szoeke, R. A. and Samelson, R. M. (2002). The duality between the Boussinesq and Non-Boussinesq hydrostatic equations of motion. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 32(8):2194–2203. Jackett, D. R. and McDougall, T. J. (1995). Minimal adjustment of hydrographic profiles to achieve static stability. J. Atmos. *Ocean. Technol.*, 12(4):381–389. Marshall, J., Adcroft, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., and Heisey, C. (1997a). A finite-volume, incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers. J. Geophys. Res., 102(C3):5753-5766. Marshall, J., Hill, C., Perelman, L., and Adcroft, A. (1997b). Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic, and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling. J. Geophys. Res., 102(C3):5733-5752. McDougall, T. J., Greatbatch, R. J., and Lu, Y. (2002a). On conservation equations in oceanography: How accurate are Boussinesq ocean models. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 32(5):1574–1584. McDougall, T. J., Jackett, D. R., Wright, D. G., and Feistel, R. (2002b). Accurate and computationally efficient algorithms for potential temperature and density of seawater. Submitted.





Conclusions

• Conventional GCMs make a number of approximations that influence their solution, such as the hydrostatic approximation and the Boussinesq approximations. We find that relaxing the hydrostatic approximation has a larger impact on a coarse resolution global model than do Boussinesq effects.

• Small changes in other approximations, such as the exact form of the equation of state, in physical parameterisations, and numerical noise lead to changes in the circulation, that are at least of the same order of magnitude as those due to Boussinesq effects.

• Because there is no additional cost involved in running a pressure coordinate model, ocean models should be non-Boussinesq. But as far as accuracy is concerned, the Boussinesq approximation is only one of many approximations, and it is certainly not the most severe one.

– Bottom pressure in pressure coordinates is a prognostic variable, in height coordinates it is diagnostic. Diagnostic variables tend to exhibit greater variability, thus biasing the results.

-Details of the comparison are incomplete. For example, the vertical viscosity and diffusivity in both models are slightly different for technical reasons. This may be the largest contribution to the current differences between the Boussinesq and non-Boussinesq model.